A Modeling Study on Typhoon Nari (2001): Landfall Characteristics

Ming-Jen Yang^{1,2}, Hsiao-Ling Huang¹, Da-Lin Zhang² ¹National Central University, Taiwan ²University of Maryland, USA

⊖e∶353 K



Heavy rainfalls induced severe flooding and societal damage !



Even Budda cannot save you!



Water World !



Why studied Typhoon Nari (2001)?

Unique track
Slowly moving
Long duration
Warm ocean
Heavy rainfall
Severe flooding



Sui et al. (2002) EOS article

Content:

Part I: Model Verification Part II: Terrain Sensitivity Part III: Precipitation Efficiency Part IV: Coupling with Runoff Model

Part I: Model Verification

MM5 model physics (Control)

Grid Size	54, 18, 6, 2 km
Fcst Period	84 h
Cumulus	Grell (1993)
Microphysics	Reisner et al. (1998)
PBL	MRF (Hong and Pan 1996)
Radiation	Dudhia (1989)
I.C.	ECMWF advanced analysis
	(2001/09/15 1200 UTC)
B.C.	ECMWF advanced analysis

TC initialization: Davis and Low-Nam (2001)

Track Comparison



Simulation time (h)	12	24	36	48	60	72	84
Track error (km)	43.3	61.2	26.8	13.4	12.0	8.5	104.8

Time Series of SLP and Vmax



24-h rainfall on 09/16

OBS

6km MM5

2km MM5



24-h rainfall on 09/17

OBS

6km MM5

2km MM5



24-h rainfall on 09/18



6km MM5

2km MM5



3-day rainfall on 09/16~09/18



Relative Percentage of 3-Day Rainfall



->MM5 overforecasts weak TC rainfall (<550mm/3day) but underestimates heavy TC rainfall (>550mm/3day)

As resolution increases, the simulated rainfall spectrum approached the observed

Average Rainfall on Taiwan

Item	N	09/16	09/17	09/18	3-Day Total
OBS (in mm)	325	132	206	97	435
6km MM5	1073	159	104	75	348
2km MM5	9602	175	133	84	383

Percentage wrt Rain Gauge OBS

MM5/OBS	09/16	09/17	09/18	3-Day Total
6km MM5	121 %	51 %	78 %	80 %
2km MM5	133 %	65 %	87 %	88 %

3-Day Total Rainfall versus Terrain Height

Rain Gauge OBS





2km MM5

Cloud Features

CWB: 0916_0100 UTC

CTL: 0916_0000 UTC



Isosurface of Snow (0.01 g/kg) and Cloud Water (0.3 g/kg)

Radar CV Composite Before Landfall

OBS

MM5





Radar CV Composite After Landfall

OBS

MM5





Sounding Comparison (within Eyewall)



Observed Sounding

MM5 Simulation

Horizontal Cross Section of Pressure Perturbations

0916_1400 UTC



EAST-WEST (KM)

Radar Retrieval (wrt. a Station Sounding) Courtesy of T.-C. Chen and Y.-C. Liou

MM5 Simulation (wrt. a Horizontal Area Mean)

TRMM Rainrate Comparison

TRMM/PR: 0915/2328 UTC (10 km pixel)

MM5: 0915/2100 UTC (6 km grid)



Courtesy of W.-J. Chen

Radial Wind wrt RCWF Radar @ 3 km Height

Obs Vr (6 km pixel)



MM5 Vr (dx = 6 km)



Courtesy of T.-C. Chen and Y.-C. Liou

MM5 Simulated Vr & Vt Nari at Sea (@ 3 km Height)

Tangential Velocity

Radial Velocity





1-h time-averaged result

MM5 Simulated Vr & Vt Nari Landfall (@ 3 km Height)

Tangential Velocity

Radial Velocity





1-h time-averaged result



1-h averaged result







Over Ocean

Radar Echo (color) Condensational Heating (contour)

After Landfall



1-h averaged result



1.0 0.0

-50

50

Distance from the center (km)



Over Ocean

Radar Echo (gray) Tangential Wind (contour)

After Landfall



1-h averaged result





Over Ocean

Radar Echo (gray) Radial Wind (contour)



After Landfall

Horizontal Cross Section of low-level wind vector

Vertical Cross Session of Vertical Velocity





-120

-60

Stratiform

region

60 Gust front

x (km)

Convective

region

Transition

zone

Gravity waves in squall lines (Yang and Houze 1995)

Summary

After detailed comparisons, the MM5 simulated these features of Typhoon Nari reasonably well: the storm track, the landfalling location, the intensity change and shrinking of eyewall during landfall process, pressure gradient near the inner core, and many observed precipitation and kinematic structures

Taiwan's topography enhanced asymmetry on the kinematic structure with higher wave-number variations on the radail wind during the landfall process.

After landfall, the vertical axis of eyewall and tangential wind tilted toward the terrain, with maximum heating located along the mountain slope.

Two significant rainfall regimes are found: one with storm's vortex circulation, and the other with topographic precipitation.

Part II: Terrain Experiments

Terrain Sensitivity Experiments

Experiment	Description
75%Ter	75% of Taiwan terrain
50%Ter	50% of Taiwan terrain
25%Ter	25% of Taiwan terrain
NoTer	Flat land on Taiwan









Time series of SLP and Vmax

The terrain impact on Nari's intensity is quite linear, i.e., higher terrain producing a weaker typhoon.

However, terrain effects on Nari's track and the accumulated rainfall on Taiwan are nonlinear.

Nari's tracks near Taiwan result from the complicated interactions between the steering flow, Taiwan topography, and terrain-induced mesoscale forcings

Part III: Precipitation Efficiency

In cooperation with Chung-Hsiung Sui, and Xiaofan Li

Ref: Sui, C.-H., X. Li, M.-J. Yang, and H.-L. Huang, 2005: Estimation of oceanic precipitation efficiency in cloud models. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **62**, 4358–4370.

Cloud Microphysics Precipitation Efficiency (CMPE)

$$CMPE = \frac{P_s}{[SI_{qv}]}$$

Ps is surface precipitation *SIqv* = [*PCND*]+ [*PDEP*]+ [*PSDEP*]+ [*PGDEP*], sinks of water vapor through condensation and deposition

Large-Scale Precipitation Efficiencies; LSPE)

$$LSPE = \frac{P_s}{[CONV_{qv}] + E_s}$$

Es+[CONVqv] is the sum of surface evaporation and water vapor convergence

For a large-scale spatial and temporal average,

$$[P_{CND}] + [P_{DEP}] + [P_{SDEP}] + [P_{GDEP}] \approx E_s + [CONV_{qv}]$$

Note that $[F] = \int_0^{z_t} \overline{\rho} F dz$, the vertical integral of F weighted by density.

CMPE (%)

All panels show the statistical equivalence CMPE =LSPE, specially when averaging over a larger area

The LSPE is equivalent to the CMPE in a statistical sense, especially after averaging over a large area (>60~100 km²) and over several life cycles of convective cells (>3~6 h).

The CMPE more (less) than 100% occurs in the area with positive (negative) hydrometeor convergence ([CONVc]).

For Typhoon Nari's heavy rainfall regime (Ps > 20~40 mm/h), the CMPE approaches to a threshold value of 60~80 %.

Part IV: River Runoff Simulation (Coupling MM5 with FLO-2D)

In Cooperation with Ming-Hsu Li

Ref: Li, M.-H., M.-J. Yang, R. Soong, and H.-L. Huang, 2005: Simulating typhoon floods with gauge data and mesoscale modeled rainfall in a mountainous watershed. *J. Hydrometeor.*, **6**, 306–323.

Shihmen Basin

DTM of Shihmen Watershed

The continuity and depth-averaged momentum equations in the FLO-2D runoff model are:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h V_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial h V_y}{\partial y} = I_e$$

$$\frac{\partial V_x}{\partial t} = -V_x \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} - V_y \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial y} - g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + g \left(S_{ox} - S_{fx} \right)$$
$$\frac{\partial V_y}{\partial t} = -V_x \frac{\partial V_y}{\partial x} - V_y \frac{\partial V_y}{\partial y} - g \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} + g \left(S_{oy} - S_{fy} \right)$$

where h = river depth

 $I_e = rainfall (Ps) excess over infiltration, \\ V_{x'} V_y = the depth-averaged velocity in x- and y-dir., \\ S_{ox'} S_{oy} = the bed-slope components in x- and y-dir., \\ S_{fx'} S_{fy} = the friction-slope components in x- and y-dir.$

Rainfall Comparison (Basin Average)

Flow Discharge Comparison (Basin Average)

Gauge Rainfall

Simulated River Depths MM5 Rainfall by MM5 Rainfall

Summary

The one-way coupling of MM5 with the FLO-2D runoff model is established and verified for Typhoon Nari (2001).

The MM5-predicted basin-averaged rainfalls are compared with those by rain gauge data. This comparisons in rainfall peak amounts and time lags are used to investigate the effect of rainfall forecast error on runoff prediction.

The error of flood prediction with the MM5 rainfall is mainly caused by the rainfall peak and timing differences, as a result of inherent uncertainties in the simulated rainfalls over a mountainous watershed during typhoon landfall periods.

Thank You !